

7-11-16

"4  
conditions"  
of Atomic,

What demand of Japanese military  
would have made it worthwhile\*  
to US to lose 500,000 - 1 million men  
(more than dying  
WWII KIA up to that!)

~~to~~ Terms of Japanese concessions?

No trials of wartime?

No occupation?

& some colonial lands?)

(Captain Perry: how many US lost in  
invasion of Perry?  
(or, of SU?))

US must SU and to continue?

tell Atomic

- \* No invasion - unsuccessful
- + B-29's
- + Blockade tell America!
- + SU in Morokawa  
(+ Hokkaido?)

say against use of H-bomb

really, as Tennant (without SU?)

future → SU A

H

SU H

ICBMs

~~Admiral~~

DMs

Admiral

(regional war moves → WWI → II case)

end of civil civilization  
or urban-civilization

We cities are (cheap) targets, in warfare

(not, one at a time

as in early empire

or WWI

(not WWI

expect "barriers"

trial runs)

Not just: multiple H-bomb attacks

(~~if~~ counter capable

if that + many

Race was close to inevitable

after 1945, (like WWII - after

WWI? close!

Defence is strong → H-bomb)

Admiral...

SK...

M.E....



+Hurry  
made usual,  
personal

Enno, mostly was made sure with

Hierher — in crisis

and with a rationale that, by

1947, made it acceptable

at least, in some crises.

But fully thought, is all. My make

SAC, Vice, Chief

Proportionate — of the A-6 returned

Observation?

Hughes Khan? notes - memo.

V-1, V-2

(But: that by first time

Ennesia  
(winter?)

exp. H  
ICBM  
(A?)  
a) to US  
b) to civil  
c) to "state"  
life

Life & got small

van Hippel

A got large yield

A for ICBM?

ICBM - accurate

man, CE?!

+ CM..

yes!

Don't know - waken!

~~7-8-12~~ 7-8-12

by 'incendiaris'  
US had cap. for H+V in Dec '44 (Japan)  
(to cancel it, on March 9)

Like UK in Feb 1943

and some motives, intentions as by '45:

by those motives were good enough to justify  
(as the case, for public) in Dec,  
was not Dec?

(Were they?)

"Free World"  
(like 2 states: SVN, NVN)

USAF / Strategic  
didn't want to test  
attitudes then!

for UK  
Korean chart area  
in Germany  
in Feb.)

But what if there had been, internally,  
stronger feelings of My-fear?

Then, a decision to be made!  
Still maintain to run this?

Less, meeting, on a case

US/SCU attitudes

on press (like UK)

on Germany:

(not? East East as though? Marshall Plan (Hawthorn's small secret race?  
to East, we West, to Do))



With News, A & H

+ natural description  
large-scale killing, at a long distance,  
is cheap & easy to deliver

if you have a radio receiver  
long  
short  
or otherwise info  
(from)

May continue with or could online  
can do to US

what only US & UK could do in '45  
(now to US)

= "Jarrow"

Big Jarrow is cheap & easy if ...  
& non-detractable:

We highlighted Big Jarrow  
from '42/'45 to present

With undroped caps (starting with 2, 1 set)  
"Big Conscience points"  
(Jarrow, '45!)

But we have totally unmissed  
conscience - wot prob, thanks,  
Mike

U+N

7-14-16

Discussion on if: continuation of fundamental?

- not total to USAF!

not "too many civ cons"

low-level - US cas-

not: 1 civ cons.

positive

- denied to Skinsen

"gates"

But after Tokyo: FOR?

Skinsen?

CNO?

Krohn / Marshall / Brito

"No more"!

NYT?

not a one-off thing!

cs 2-3, like A-bombs

Search then offered? What if

(then - after <sup>other</sup> May / Tokyo)

(yes -

but after prelude A\*

(and A really can

contribute to search?

(even if it will make it

(even) better?

critical?

Yes will be SU entry!

Obvious!



H+N

7-14-16

JJ ~~Good~~ - ~~was~~ decision

No decision to be made (no. FOR '43)

no process

no discussion

no writing (if ready before I turn

Contrary: "Priority; Cons; how close to turn; diff  
means/1. considerations; time; As if there were more NOT to.

What level of car. would be too low to  
justify Bank (see Harris).

if Harris had A-bank?

if Bank before Harris "turn"?

When? (not Kyoto - decision

Pakyo? (3d?!)

When? Within days of decision

When to offer Explorer

When to announce SU?

J's: when to accept turn?

(What if: SU next in fall 15th?

Effect on Tokyo?

on turn?!)

3d Bank?



"Decision":

Awful thing? the  
Mushy

(Maybe Strasser, like Frank/Sigismund, had some  
qualms about dropping Bomb — not a decision

Ans: "only last resort"; only if "necessary"  
only to save X US, (Y Japanese lives (any conditions?)  
— no cost to not using Bomb" (cost; have <sup>US</sup> any  
US lives  
(about 1000 US lives)  
but to intermediate SC

Was there a reason (Hear?/SU) without  
which first would not have dropped?  
1000 US lives?

Post-office: is R with only SCBMs  
or has possible "adversary"  
then with 1000 ICBMs?

If the diminished ICBMs, what would  
even ICBMs target?



7-14-16

H + N

Need to save  
114 US bins  
to finally killing  
100,000 Japanese?

How?

Reverse -

kill 100,000 Jap.  
civ. to save  
16,000 US  
or 1000 US?

7-14-6

see Francis

see Harris in  
Harris' class

appears, Margie...

turn-off?

Do "coloured"  
his mother at  
all? Ewing?

McDonald - always  
tended to care...



7-14-66

justification

- avoid losing  
to Hummer

- avoid Hummer  
A-bomb

(even if not  
a mess to Hummer  
writers)

? Shorter was in  
Hummer? save US  
lives? (Sylvia)

IF NO CERNY A-BOMB

7-14-16

(Walt of England  
knew in fall-44  
(Rottblat - Gschmidt)

NO GERMAN

A-BOMB?

Would be down under  
Rauk?

Wouldn't be from  
French-type request  
then, ~~was~~ (months  
later)?



7-14-12

W&N may have  
presented SU role  
in occupations of  
Hokkaido  
Honsu  
Tokyo

- Does this justify  
100-300K pop. civ  
lives?

What were those  
wants to USG?

(to best-defend Aug 7?  
"rights")

6-29-16

"

Fire not "wil. tempt"

City was obliterated  
to index (more\*)

noted number

(best) (not "no")

(Stinson)

(Therion)

("Savages")

\* Moral as Register

then - no discrimination

(right/wrong/indifferent)

knowing (not, was - was)

not, "Kerbertus" knowis



necessary ("end  
over")  
"to mirror ourselves  
as far as possible  
by any means  
we, to this end  
with as low  
loss of (our) life  
as possible  
(no. intervention)

public belief: persons  
will not surrender  
(the we and the "others")

no - the unnot  
"physical & cold"  
of A-bomb  
How could it  
be?

our bomb?

so what?

10 bombs?

(100, yes)

6-18-16

Was Ernst  
justified?

Now asked:  
on Ernst  
+ Reinisch  
(Ernst?)  
(vs.)